

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,365 01/02/2002		01/02/2002	Reinhard Langer	Mo-6888/LeA 33,693	7436	
34947	10/20/2004			EXAMINER		
LANXESS CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT/ BLDG 14				TOOMER, CEPHIA D		
100 BAYE		ENT/ BEDG 14		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PITTSBUR	GH, PA	15205-9741		1714	,	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
			65	LANGER ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	ſ	Art Unit	-				
		Cephia D	. Toomer	1714					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statication that the period for reply will, by statication in the set of the period for reply will, by statication in the set of the period for reply will, by statication in the set of the period for reply will, by statication in the set of the period for reply will, by statication in the set of the period for reply will. Set of the period for reply will, by statication in the period for reply will, by statication in the period for reply will.	I. 1.136(a). In no exepty within the standard will apply and wute, cause the app	rent, however, may a reply be time tutory minimum of thirty (30) day: rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)□		— nis action is r	non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)☑ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 3-25 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdre Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examin	rawn from co							
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		☐ objected to by the F	yaminer					
.0/	Applicant may not request that any objection to th		· ·						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			` '	R 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	/ ·	= : : :		` '				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) X 1 a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have bee nts have bee iority docume au (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage				
Attachma-	We)								
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) 💢 Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	8)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO	-152)				

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly
 - claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 13-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 is rejected because applicant is claiming a process of oxidizing an organic compound; however, the claim appears to be a process of forming a peroxy-carboxylic acid. Clarification and correction are required.

Claims 21-25 is rejected because claim 13 does not contain any process steps for oxidizing the claimed organic compounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,365

Art Unit: 1714

5. Claims 13-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO9104333.

WO teaches a process for the preparation of a peroxy carboxylic acid of the formula RCOOOH wherein R is an organic residue (alkyl, aryl, alkylaryl, which may be optionally substituted). See abstract.

The process comprises treating a carboxylic acid of the formula RCOOH with hydrogen peroxide in the presence of an enzyme such as a protease, or a lipase enzyme. The water generated by the process is removed. See abstract; page 7, lines 11-13. WO also teaches a process for the oxidation of organic compounds with the peroxycarboxylic acids prepared as described above, wherein the enzymatic synthesis of the peroxy carboxylic acids and oxidation of organic chemicals by means of peroxycarboxylic acids may be carried out simultaneously. See abstract; page 1, lines 3-10; page 2, lines 2-10. WO discloses Candida Antarctica as a preferred lipase. See page 4, lines 18-19. Examples of organic compounds that may be oxidized in this process include olefins (alkenes), sulfur-containing compounds or any compound susceptible to peroxycarboxylic acid oxidation. See page 6, lines 29-31, page 7, lines 14-27 and Examples. WO teaches the limitations of the claims other than the differences that are discussed below.

WO differs from the claims in that it does not teach the use of saturated aliphatic acid esters of a straight chain or branched chain saturated aliphatic carboxylic acid having 1-4 carbon atoms and an alcohol having the formula ROH wherein R is a straight chain or branched chain C₃-C₁₈ alkyl radical. However, no unobviousness is seen in

Application/Control Number: 10/030,365

Art Unit: 1714

this difference because the use of esters over the free acids of WO is not a patentable difference because the skilled artisan would recognize that by use of the acids the same product will form. Furthermore, the use of a different starting material is obvious when the method is the same and the process yield the same product as the prior art. With respect to number of carbon atoms, WO teaches that R may be any hydrocarbon radical. This teaching suggests the acid forms of the claimed esters.

WO fails to specifically teach the limitations of claims 21-25. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have oxidized the claimed compounds because WO teaches that "any compound susceptible to peroxycarboxylic acid oxidation" may be oxidized by its process. This teaching clearly suggests the claimed compounds.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

10030365\101804